# STIA FILE COPY 200

## DOCUMENTATION

INCORPORATED

2521 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N. W. WASHINGTON 8, D. C. C. OLUMBIA 5-4577

THE PREPARATION OF MANUAL DICTIONARIES OF ASSOCIATION

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 5

PREPARED UNDER

CONTRACT NO. Nonr-1305(00)

for

THE OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

APRIL 1954 THIS REPORT HAS BEEN DELIMITED

AND CLEARED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE

UNDER DOD DIRECTIVE 5200,20 AND

NO RESTRICTIONS ARE IMPOSED UPON

ITS USE AND DISCLOSURE.

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE;
DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.

### TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 5

### THE PREPARATION OF MANUAL DICTIONARIES OF ASSOCIATION

In the previous report, we explained the logical significance of the symbol "a" to be read "is associated with" and the symbol "," to be read as "and" between classes. In this paper, we will describe the method of preparing manual dictionaries of association for any system of information.

Let us consider a system of information, S, comprising N items of information (documents, reports, books, etc.) indexed by the terms  $t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n$ . The sets of terms used to analyze or index the items of information will be designated by  $I_1, I_2, \ldots, I_N$ , the subscript of any I indicating the number of the document indexed by that set of terms. The set of terms associated with any given term  $t_r$  we will call  $P_r$ :  $t_k \in P_r = t_k \neq t_r$ . Thus  $P_1, P_2$ , etc. will constitute the "pages" of our dictionary. We shall write  $t_k \neq P_r$  if  $t_k$  is associated with each term of  $P_r$ .

The three elements which determine the size of any system are:

The number of items, N

The number of terms, n

The average number of terms used to analyze each item,  $\frac{\Sigma}{\kappa}$  (number of terms in  $I_k$ )

<sup>&</sup>quot;e" means "belongs to"

As in <u>Technical Report No. 3</u>, we shall assume that S contains 50,000 items analyzed by  $I_1, I_2, \ldots, I_{50,000}$ ; and that each I is a unique set of 10 terms chosen from  $t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_{5,000}$ .

There are many ways to organize an S so that the actual associations of the system can be exhibited. But it will be recalled that the number of actual associations in a system of 50,000 items each analyzed by 10 terms will range from 25,000,000 to 50,000.000. This means that although we could arrange all the associations in a linear alphabetical card file, the file would contain upwards of 25,000,000 cards and would be too big to be useful. We could also set up a file in which each I was recorded just once. Such a file would contain only 50,000 cards. But we would not know how to arrange it, since each I has 1023 potential filing positions. As a random IBM file, the search for any t<sub>x</sub> would involve the sorting of 50,000 items. Such a search would yield a group of 1's containing t<sub>x</sub>.

Let us assume that such a search yielded 200 I's. The number of terms in such a group would be 2000 but many of these might be duplicates. We would thus have to examine the 260 cards to list the different t's and eliminate duplicates. If now we asked for all  $t_k$ 's for which  $t_k$  \*  $t_r$  \*  $t_s$ , we could resort our 200 I's to determine which ones contain both  $t_r$  and  $t_s$ . This whole process is also too laborious to be a practical method.

The method we have chosen for the initial preparation of dictionaries which exhibit the association of any group is as follows:

Suppose any term  $t_r$  appears in sets  $I_1,\ I_2,\ \ldots,\ I_{200},$  we then construct  $P_r$ , which includes all distinct terms contained in any set which contains  $t_r$ , that is, all the terms of  $I_1,\ I_2,\ \ldots,\ I_{200}.$  Using materials we had indexed for another project, we selected three terms from the vocabulary set, namely, Waves  $(t_a)$ ; Boundary  $(t_b)$ ; and Laminstian, Laminar  $(t_c)$ .

For each of these terms we constructed a "page" by listing in sequence all other terms associated with them.  $t_{\rm B}$  appeared on 52 items and in association with 247 other terms. This indicates that many terms were duplicated in analyzing the 52 items. Otherwise the  $P_{\rm B}$  associated with  $t_{\rm B}$  would have contained 468 terms.  $t_{\rm B}$  appeared on 43 items, associated with 204 different terms; and  $t_{\rm C}$  appeared on 40 items associated with 160 terms. The three "pages" are presented as Exhibits 1, 2, and 3.

If we enumerate the members of  $P_a$ , we have  $t_a*(t_1,t_2,t_3,\ldots)$ . Since A\*A,  $t_a \in P_a$ . Further, if  $t_a*t_b$ , then both  $P_a$  and  $P_b$  will contain  $t_a$  and  $t_b$ . Thus, if "waves" is associated with "laminar" in S, we would discover on the page for "waves" and on the page for "laminar" the terms "waves" and "laminar".

Suppose we write out (Exhibit 4) all the terms common to  $P_a$  and  $P_b$ . The terms common to  $P_a$  and  $P_b$  constitute the legical product of the two sets and we can always write  $(t_a, t_b) * (P_a \times P_b)$ , but not  $(t_a * t_b) * (P_a \times P_b)$ . That is, the terms which are common to the two lists are associated with "waves" and with "laminar", but not necessarily with both at once (in the same document).

Suppose now we write out the words which are common to our three pages (Exhibit 5), that is  $(t_a, t_b, t_c) *$   $\sqrt{(P_a \times P_b) \times P_c)7}.$  Our result will be

 $(t_a, t_b, t_c) * (t_a, t_b, t_c, ...)$ 

since each is associated with the other two. Here again, it is important to note that we still have not advanced beyond a chain of pairs of associations;  $t_a * t_b$ ;  $t_a * t_c$ ;  $t_b * t_c$ , etc. The fact that  $t_a$ ,  $t_b$  and  $t_c$  belong to  $P_a \times P_b \times P_c$  does not imply that  $t_a * t_b * t_c$ .

The same information is given by a simple punched card system. We can design a card for each term or "page" with 5000 dedicated positions for t<sub>1</sub>, t<sub>2</sub>, ..., t<sub>5,000</sub>, numbered from 1 to 5000. The seventh hole, for example, is punched on every card representing a P to which t<sub>7</sub> belongs, including, of course, P<sub>7</sub>. Thus any P can be represented by a card on which all the members of P are punched in fixed pre-assigned positions.

The set of associations

 $(t_a, t_b, t_c) * (t_a, t_b, t_c, ...)$ 

will be given immediately by the punched holes common to ail three cards  $P_a$ ,  $P_b$ , and  $P_c$  since each common hole represents a member of  $P_a$  X  $P_b$  X  $P_c$ . But suppose we wished to use this same simple method of superimposition to find  $\epsilon_a$  \*  $\epsilon_b$  \*  $\epsilon_c$ . We would then have to construct  $P_1$ ,  $P_2$ , etc. by listing on any  $P_k$  all the 2-term combinations rather than the single terms associated with  $\epsilon_k$ . On any single "pages" the number of actual 2-termed combinations  $(\epsilon_1$  \*  $\epsilon_2$ ),  $(\epsilon_2$  \*  $\epsilon_3$ ),  $(\epsilon_1$  \*  $\epsilon_3$ ), etc. might not be appreciably larger than the number of single terms, but in order to use superimposition of punched cards to find any associated pair associated with a third term,  $(\epsilon_1$  \*  $\epsilon_2$  to, we would require a dedicated position on each card, not for 5,000 terms but for each of the  $\epsilon_3$  to  $\epsilon_4$  possible or potential pairs. A card with that many dedicated positions is a practical absurdity.

We certainly will find it necessary to go beyond such chains and to discover whether in any specific case the associations  $t_a + t_b$ ,  $t_a + t_c$ ,  $t_b + t_c$  are in S because  $t_a$ ,  $t_b$ ,  $t_c$  are contained in a single set  $I_1$ , that is,  $(t_a + t_b + t_c)$  or

whether the associations represent three separate sets

$$I_1 (t_a * t_b)$$

It appears, at this stage of our investigations that the indexing machine we have designed for other purposes can also be used to answer such questions quickly and automatically, and the next report will describe the indexing machine with particular reference to its use in problems involving the association of ideas.

	Damped Data	Heat Helium Hick	Meteorological Method Microsocus pyogenes	Radiation Radio Radiosondes	Yable Tail Taper ed
Debye		Bigh Hobbing, hot	Microsoccus pyogenes (var. aurens)	Ratio	Technique, Q/VF
Docton		"Horizon" (Shin)	Microwaves	Rayleigh	Temperature
Detonetica	,-	Harizontal	Millimeter	Reflection	Tests
Dielectric	: (	Bushdity	Mixing, jet	zeflex.	Thickness
Diffucere	surer confe	Hadvanites	Model	Oscillator	Theory
Dictital		Hydrogen	Modes	Research	Timoshenko's
Discontinuition	2000	Hyperfine Structure	Moisture	Resonators	equations
Dispersion			Wolecular	Revolution	Transfer.
Disturbance	900	Incompressible flow	Momentum		Transmission
Domes			Mottor	Schlieren	Travelling waves
Drad		Integral	Mounted	Seismographs	Troposphere
Se 3		Intensity		Sheli	Tubos
Farthanakee	, and a	Interaction	Nowlifting	Shielding	Tunnels
Figure 100	104	Interdiaital	Nozzles	Ships	Turbulence
	1]((((())))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))	Interference	Nuclear	Shock	
Flanter	Eldonic, tidocicity	Inization		Sine wave	Ultra-High Frequency
Electromagner Electromagner	magnetac	Isotronic	Observations	Single	Unsteady
Flores	271916		Oceans	Sirens	Upper
JOHEANTS		Jets	"Or dvac"	Skewed jets	Teilication
Equations Fortenate	500		Oscillators	Slamming	
Estimate 10m	mo t	Karefatka neetnesila		Slope	Varying
Sychaloge	<u>u</u> 9		Pacific Ocean	Slots	Vibrations
exerters	r,		Falisades seismouraph		Visualization
To 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	\$	Tayou I	Parachutes	Spectrometers	
Field		Lechality	Pattern	Spherical	Make
F 1 1 1 2 4	Filler Dielertric	Limits	Photograph!	Strength	in the state of th
Fine	777777777	T Despis	Piezoelectric	Structure	Waveguides
7.130		2 de	Flane	Stress; Stress-	Waves
1.66.7		Load	Plates	Eui	Weak
F 1. 4 de		020	Potseville flow	Subsonic	Westerlies
r rates	700	, i	Polar	Superrefraction	Whistles
rausn-hounted	ounted fatoral		Pond	Supersonic	Wind
Fourte	rouries integral	3101	Presture	Surface	Wing
rreducires	ָּבָּי בַּ	Especial Control of the Control of t	Propension	Suspension	
Senerators	910	Mant Je		Synoptic charts	Yacht
Glow		Mathematics	Q/VF technique		i
Great Britain	ritain	Mechanics	Quadrupole lines		2100
Grinding		Mediun			

## LAMINATION; LAMINAR (tc)

Surface Symetric	Temperature	Tests Thickness	Transfer	Transfermations (Matt)	Trans!tion			Tennel	Iurbujence	60		orethylene Velocities		Halls	Haves	Weak	Wettability	Wind						ī							stressing			
Natural Necprene Nylon		ers	Parallet		Pitot				r lexi glada					T. Porous	tion		Kain	Reflection	Regions	Resins	Resistance	Rocket					- X0	Supported Suppor		Strength	Stress	Supersonic		6 5464120
Acetylene Filters Adhesives Flames	lysis F1	Annealing Flowmeters	, ( <u>.</u>		Benzyl alcohol Gases	Bonding Glass	ies	wn, dielectric He		Burning Synrogen Hunersonic	California Direction	Carbon Improvement	S		SOI	Compressible Interlayers	Constant	Convection			Loads	Deterioration	Development Machine		Distillation Meter	Downwols			pre-\$	Epon Molding	Erosion Momentum	Estimation	Ethylurea	

Incompressible flow Inhomogeneous Integral Interaction Ionization Isotropic	Layers Layers	Mechanics Medium Method Momentum Motion	Parachutes Plates Poiseville flow Pressure Propagation	Radiation Reilection Revolution
Aerodynamics Air Airfoils Analysis Atlas Bodies Boundaries	Calculations Convection Crystals	Data Diffusers, supersonic Drag Ejectors, jet Electromagnetic	Estimations Exchange Flat Flow Fluids	Heat High Hydraulics

Technique, O/VF Temperature Tests Theory Transfer Troposphere Tubes Tunnels

Visualization

Wake Water Wesk Wind

Zinc

Stress; stressing Subsonic Supersonic Surface

Schlieren Shock Skewed jets Soil

Air Analysis

Boundaries

Compressible Convection

Estimation

Flow Fiuids

Heat High

Integral Interaction

Jets

Lamination; laminar Layers

Method Momentum

Plates Pressure

Reflectio.

Shock Stress; svessing Subsonic Supersonf

Temperative Tests Transfe: Tubes Tunne

Kave steal.